

The Bible: Google Trends, Gemini grounding and the guest list

Americans for Non-Profit Transparency · Source pack · The Bible: Google Trends, Gemini grounding and the guest list

Source file: BIBLE.md, as it stood on 7 October 2026. Published for checking. Internal cross-references to other files were left as written; private names, non-Latin search strings and local file paths were removed. Google Trends dates in this file are what Google returned at the time stated; Trends redraws its sample.

THE BIBLE - Google Trends, Gemini grounding / GenAI.mil, and Ana Escobar's "guest list"

and a confidence tag): - 01_GOOGLE_TRENDS.md - Google Trends 2004-2026: history, how the data is made, sampling, noise, geography, pitfalls - 02_GROUNDING_AND_GENAI_MIL.md - Gemini grounding (all versions), Gemini for Government, GenAI.mil catalogue - 03_MILITARY_AI_AND_BASE_ACCESS.md - military AI tools 2023-2026, Fort Huachuca, how visitors are really vetted - 04_OUR_TESTS.md - every test we ran (~1,600 searches) and the results

Tags used below: **[OFFICIAL]** Google / DoD / Army published it. **[SECONDARY]** reputable outlet or peer-reviewed paper. **[OURS]** our own test. **[INFERENCE]** reasoned, not stated by a source.

PART 1 - Plain English (say this)

1. **What she claims.** Officials' names show tiny spikes on Google Trends on certain days. She concludes someone searched them those days, that the searcher was an Army AI looking up each day's VIP visitors, and so those people were on the base. (She does not claim Trends shows who searched; she infers it.)
2. **A spike doesn't mean anyone searched.** Google adds fake noise on purpose. Google told reporters that for rare names, Trends "may appear to show some search interest even if there were no actual searches." [OFFICIAL via ABC]
3. **Most names show nothing; about 1 in 3 shows a random blip.** Search hundreds of names (she did) and some will land on any day you pick. [OURS]
4. **It finds cartoons, and misses real visitors.** Captain Crunch spikes on her Erika day. Generals the Army says really visited don't show up on their visit day. [OURS]
5. **Nothing is "removed."** Google redraws the sample. Same searches two hours apart: most blips moved or vanished. Daffy Duck "on Sept 5" at 2:52 AM was gone by 5:59 PM the same day. Nobody deleted Daffy Duck. [OURS] Google's FAQ since Oct 2019: "Unlike Google Trends, Autocomplete is subject to Google's removal policies." [OFFICIAL]
6. **The "AI grounding" piece doesn't connect to Trends.** Google's own deployment guide for Gemini for Government says the Google-Search version of grounding is "unauthorized" and should be switched off; the approved version searches Google Cloud's stored copy of the web, not google.com, and doesn't log. Searches that never hit google.com can't show up in Google Trends. [OFFICIAL; which version GenAI.mil runs is not published - see Part 4]
7. **The base doesn't work that way.** Visitors are checked in person at the gate (ID + FBI records check, photo taken there). VIP bios come from the visitor's own office. DEERS is the military ID-card database,

not a visitor log. [OFFICIAL]

8. **This exact trick has been debunked before.** After the Bondi attack and after Charlie Kirk's death, people claimed pre-event Trends spikes on names. Fact-checkers reproduced the same spikes in unrelated countries (Mongolia, Japan, Kenya). [SECONDARY]

One breath: *She reads random blips on a popularity chart as a guest list. Google says those blips can appear with zero searches. Cartoons show up on her dates; real visitors don't. And the AI she blames isn't even set up to search google.com.*

PART 2 - Her chain, link by link

Link in her chain	What the record shows	Strength
1. A spike = someone searched that name that day	Google: noise "most noticeable on queries with low or no search interest ... one-off spikes should not be interpreted as real search activity or evidence of individual searches" [OFFICIAL, Trends FAQ]. Google spokesperson: for very uncommon terms Trends "may appear to show some search interest even if there were no actual searches" [OFFICIAL via ABC, 17 Dec 2025]. Chart is rescaled so its own peak = 100; a single crumb becomes "100" [OFFICIAL]. <i>Pass 1 adds:</i> Raubenheimer: "if the maximum number of searches was ten, it would show up as 100" (The Conversation, 17 Dec 2025) [SECONDARY]; GNI lesson: "search terms with low volume appear as 0 for a given time period" [OFFICIAL]. Her own reading of 100 on the collab: "trending number one ... serious interest as in possibly even maybe more than one search" (a1ObeHOaq1k 0:58:47) - she reads a relative peak as a count.	STRONGEST (unchanged)
2. The spikes line up with her meeting days	She searched "hundreds and hundreds" and kept 43; her own sheet shows misses she calls outliers. ~1 in 3 invented names blips somewhere; invented names land on every one of her dates [OURS]. Fact-checkers reproduced name spikes in unrelated countries [SECONDARY]. Researchers: rare terms show "zero or near-zero values with some isolated big spikes" (Raubenheimer) [SECONDARY]. <i>Pass 1 adds:</i> our 468 cartoon/dead-name runs (tests 9-10): 177 blipped (38%), 24 (5%) somewhere Aug 27-Sep 9, 5 (about 1 in 100) exactly on Aug 28 / Sep 4 / Sep 8 [OURS, big_results.json + big2_results.json]. Her own extra tries: misspellings (collab 1:39:25), title variants, "Let me try other spellings. Let me try other names" (bmjVylJelZc 0:00:00), window-narrowing (collab 1:38:02) - see Part 9. Caveat: we cannot know her total number of tries, so we cannot prove 38 hits is what chance alone gives; at ~1 in 100 per try it would take a few thousand tries [INFERENCE]. She also chose the three days after looking ("as I narrowed in on these three dates", wmljWb4bcM 0:29:52).	STRONG (honest caveat: her denominator is unknown - see RED_TEAM #6)
3. The method finds real visitors	Army-documented visits (Eifler + Hale May 15 2025, Weimer Mar 13, Terrones Mar 6): 23 variants, 0 spikes on the visit day [OURS + OFFICIAL DVIDS].	STRONG
4. "They deleted it"	Trends is a re-drawn sample; researchers find results "can widely change from day to day" and recommend averaging multiple pulls; Google's own researchers say "pull multiple samples and average them" [OFFICIAL/SECONDARY]. Same 119 searches 2 h apart: 42 blips -> 24 gone, 17 moved, 1 same [OURS]. <i>Pass 1 adds:</i> (a) Daffy Duck test: 9 cartoon names that blipped on her days at 02:52 PT Oct 4 were re-loaded 17:57-18:00 PT: 7 show "not enough data", 2 moved (Daffy Duck Sep 5 -> gone; Big Bad Wolf Sep 8 -> gone; Count Dracula Aug 27 -> Jul 11 + Jul 25; Sir Isaac Brock Sep 5 -> Aug 26) [OURS, shots_B vs shots_B_repull, PNG + MP4 + _data.json; Daffy and Dracula PNGs checked by eye]. (b) Same five terms, three loads, three answers (Part 8). (c) Google FAQ since Oct 2019: "Unlike Google Trends, Autocomplete is subject to Google's removal policies" [OFFICIAL, Wayback: absent 20190921195239, present 20191015212824, 20250124010506 and live 2026-10-04]. (d) Raubenheimer: "Some posts mention how the	STRONGEST (upgraded)

Link in her chain	What the record shows	Strength
	displayed results seem to change from one view to the next. This is, in fact, exactly what to expect with Google Trends data." [SECONDARY]. (e) The Transparency Report she showed as proof of removals covers "information from Google products, such as blog posts or YouTube videos" - it never mentions Trends [OFFICIAL]. (f) Her own words: blips gone "within 24 hours" (collab 2:03:00) = Google's own description of a once-a-day sample ("data is cached each day ... must wait a day to get a new sample", Stephens-Davidowitz & Varian, Google, 2015) [OFFICIAL, 2015 system]; "I know it's going to disappear" (AXTzDnziLLk 2:25:33).	
5. An Army AI did the searching	Her only document: GenAI.mil's Gemini card ("web grounding (IL5 approved)"). Grounding = the model writes searches when a person asks it something [OFFICIAL Google docs]. Google's Gemini for Government deployment guide (updated 2026-09-24): "Grounding with Google Search" is under "Unauthorized features that you should disable manually"; "Web Grounding for Enterprise" is "Authorized" (FedRAMP High, IL4) [OFFICIAL, verified by me]. Web Grounding for Enterprise searches "GCP's web index", "doesn't log customer data" [OFFICIAL]. DoD official described GenAI.mil's web data as "cached, scraped web content" (DefenseScoop 18 Dec 2025) [SECONDARY]. Trends filters "Searches made by Google products and services" (examples: AI Mode, AI Overviews) [OFFICIAL].	STRONG (with the caveat in Part 4)
6. ...by image search, by job title	Google's "Grounding with Google Image Search" only feeds reference pictures into image GENERATION, preview on one model, Feb 2026, and "does not support using real-world images of people" [OFFICIAL]. No source shows a by-title image search of visitors.	STRONG
7. ...automatically at midnight, from the visitor list	Grounding runs on a prompt. Scheduled agents exist in Gemini Enterprise but are written by a person, run on that user's credentials, and are "not supported for actions involving other people" [OFFICIAL]. As of Apr 2026 GenAI.mil agents "can currently only draw on public sources or uploaded files" - no internal systems [SECONDARY]. No source mentions visitor/protocol use [searched, none found].	MEDIUM-STRONG
8. ...in Sept 2025	GenAI.mil launched 9 Dec 2025 [OFFICIAL]. The Army chatbot of 2024-25, CamoGPT, had no internet access [OFFICIAL]. Fort Huachuca's 2024 AI ("Edge", 16 Oct 2024) is cyber-threat analytics [OFFICIAL]. Her "tested since Dec 2024" has no source. Trends dates a search on the day it was made; a later search can't land on a past day [OFFICIAL: "reflects searches people make on Google every day"]. Other Army AI tools did exist in 2024-25 (Ask Sage, CamoGPT, Enterprise LLM Workspace) - none documented as Google-Search-grounded.	MEDIUM (side point)
9. "From Fort Huachuca"	"Tucson (Sierra Vista) AZ" = Nielsen DMA 789, a TV market; Trends' smallest unit is a city; Arizona has 3 regions [OFFICIAL/OURS]. Location assignment was last officially described as a "best guess" from IP (2006-2008) [OFFICIAL]. Daily values are UTC days: an Arizona "date" starts ~5 PM the day before [OFFICIAL + INFERENCE].	STRONG
10. Visitors get Googled because DEERS was closed	DEERS = DoD ID-card/benefits enrollment database, holds ID photos, still in use; gate systems check IDs against it [OFFICIAL]. Fort Huachuca: REAL ID + NCIC-III check at the Van Deman Visitor Control Center, photo taken there; Trusted Traveler suspended since 14 Feb 2024 [OFFICIAL, home.army.mil/huachuca]. VIP bios/visit notices come from the visitor's own office to protocol in advance [OFFICIAL/SECONDARY].	STRONG
11. Her titles	2026 titles on 2025 "visits": Adams (DIA, nominated Jan 2026), Sims (VP NSA from May 2026), Barnea (Ondas from Aug 2026) [OFFICIAL/SECONDARY].	STRONG

PART 3 - Quote bank (for the screen; all verified)

- Google Trends FAQ: "To protect your privacy, we incorporate statistical noise that includes small and random fluctuations that don't represent actual search behavior. While this noise is always present, it is

most noticeable on queries with low or no search interest." / "These apparent one-off spikes should not be interpreted as real search activity or evidence of individual searches." (paragraph first appears in Wayback between 2026-04-25 and 2026-05-11; earlier we saw absent 2026-03-10, present 2026-05-16)

- Google Trends FAQ filters: "Searches made by very few people: Trends only shows data for popular terms, so search terms with low volume appear as '0.'" / "Searches made by Google products and services: This includes internal searches made by AI Mode and AI Overviews."
- Google spokesperson to ABC NEWS Verify (17 Dec 2025): "for very uncommon terms, Trends data may appear to show some search interest even if there were no actual searches" / "apparent spikes for low-interest search terms should not be interpreted as reflecting actual search activity."
- ABC NEWS Verify: "Tests in other countries such as Mongolia, Japan and Kenya did produce spikes, showing the unreliability of this method."
- AAP FactCheck (24 Dec 2025): "Similar claims involving the results from the website were made after US conservative Charlie Kirk's assassination." Raubenheimer (Univ. of Sydney): "Generally, everything with a zero trendline and then a sudden spike in values is probably not to be trusted ... The volumes are just too low."
- Google, Gemini for Government deployment guidance (updated 2026-09-24): Web Grounding for Enterprise - FedRAMP High "Authorized", IL4 "Authorized"; Grounding with Google Search - listed under "Unauthorized features that you should disable manually"; disable via `constraints/vertexai.disableGenAIGoogleSearchGrounding`.
- Google, Web Grounding for Enterprise: "The service doesn't log customer data"; legal terms replace "Google's search engine" with "GCP's web index".
- Google, Gemini API grounding: "the model automatically generates one or multiple search queries and executes them" (after "The model analyzes the prompt and determines if a Google Search can improve the answer").
- Fort Huachuca: "Beginning Feb. 14, 2024, Fort Huachuca suspended the Trusted Traveler program until further notice."
- (pass 1) Google Trends FAQ (since Oct 2019, live 2026-10-04): "Unlike Google Trends, Autocomplete is subject to Google's removal policies as well as algorithmic filtering designed to try to catch policy-violating predictions and not show them." <https://support.google.com/trends/answer/4365533>
- (pass 1) Google Trends FAQ: "Google Trends provides access to a largely unfiltered sample of actual search requests made to Google." / "Google Trends data reflects searches people make on Google every day."
- (pass 1) Trends Help, Troubleshooting: "When a search term doesn't have enough total Google Search queries, Trends can't create graphs." <https://support.google.com/trends/answer/9009229>
- (pass 1) Google Search Central, "Get started with Google Trends" (updated 2025-12-10): "Google Trends provides a random sample of aggregated, anonymized, and categorized Google and YouTube searches." <https://developers.google.com/search/docs/monitor-debug/trends-start>
- (pass 1) Google News Initiative lesson: "Google Trends analyses a sample of Google web searches" / "Trends only analyses data for popular terms, so search terms with low volume appear as 0 for a given time period." <https://newsinitiative.withgoogle.com/resources/trainings/google-trends-understanding-the-data/>
- (pass 1) Google Search Central blog, Trends API alpha (24 Jul 2025): "On the Trends website, the results are scaled from 0 to 100 every time you request data." / "The API will be available only to a very limited number of testers."
- (pass 1) Raubenheimer, The Conversation (17 Dec 2025): "Some posts mention how the displayed results seem to change from one view to the next. This is, in fact, exactly what to expect with Google Trends data." / "So if the maximum number of searches was ten, it would show up as 100" / "Similar stories did the rounds when US right-wing activist Charlie Kirk was killed in September" / "For longer-

term data, Google only adds one new sample per day." <https://theconversation.com/how-misreading-google-trends-is-fuelling-bondi-attack-conspiracy-theories-272251>

- (pass 1) Google Transparency Report FAQ: "Governments make content removal requests to remove information from Google products, such as blog posts or YouTube videos." (no mention of Trends anywhere on the page) <https://support.google.com/transparencyreport/answer/7347744>
 - (pass 1) Stephens-Davidowitz & Varian (Google), 2015: "data is cached each day. Even though it comes from a sample, the same request made on the same day will report data from the same sample. A researcher who wants to average multiple samples must wait a day to get a new sample." (describes the 2014-15 system)
-

PART 4 - Honest limits (don't overclaim)

- Google has **not** published which grounding version GenAI.mil uses. The deployment guide covers FedRAMP High and IL4 and is silent on IL5 (GenAI.mil's level). Say: "Google's own guide tells government customers to switch the Google-Search version off" - not "GenAI.mil definitely doesn't use it".
 - Trends' filter of Google products' searches names AI Mode / AI Overviews, not grounding by name.
 - No official sampling percentage (the "about 10%" figure is AAP's, not Google's).
 - How Trends assigns location today is not officially documented (IP wording is 2006-2008).
 - Whether the privacy-noise wording reflects a change applied to 2025 data is unknown; the FAQ paragraph is from 2026.
 - Army: other gen-AI tools existed in 2024-25; only GenAI.mil is documented as Google-Search-grounded.
 - Two quotes in 03 (DoDM 5200.08 Vol 3 text; the 9 Dec 2025 war.gov release text) were blocked to automated fetch; confirm in a browser before putting on screen.
 - Absence findings ("no source mentions visitor processing") mean we searched and found nothing, not proof it never happened.
 - (pass 1) **Does looking a term up ON Trends count as a Google search?** No official statement either way. What is official: Trends counts "searches people make on Google" / "total Google Search queries". Typing into Trends sends the request to trends.google.com, not Google Search [OURS - capture URLs]. Say: "Trends measures Google searches, and any search is dated the day it's made - so nothing anyone types in 2026 can create or erase a blip in 2025." Do NOT say "Google says Trends lookups aren't counted."
 - (pass 1) **Refresh speed:** published sources say a new sample about once a day (Google 2015; Raubenheimer 2025). Our own data shows changes within ~2 hours (Oct 4, 01:30 -> 03:00 PT) and over 15 hours (02:52 -> 17:59 PT). Say "the sample gets re-drawn - in our tests, within hours." Do not quote an official refresh interval for 2026.
 - (pass 1) **"38 of 43 on three days."** We do not know how many total tries she ran (names x titles x spellings x windows), so we can't compute the chance of 38. Our exact-day rate (~1 in 100 per try) is for cartoon names in the "ISRTF-PLTR" format; real officials' names may get more real searches. Attack the selection (Part 9), not the math.
 - (pass 1) **Live searches:** she did search live at least once (Theory of Everything, 5 Sep 2026, AXTzDnziLLk 2:25:33) and showed live re-runs on the collab. Never say "she never searches live." Say "her slides are screenshots, and she herself says the blips disappear."
 - (pass 1) The Oct 4 evening 5-term re-run (shots_C) has a data-read bug; its dates (~May 31 / ~Jun 20) are read off
-

PART 5 - Timeline

Date	Event	Tag
2006	Google Trends launches (Zeitgeist since 2001; Insights for Search 2008; merged 2012)	OFFICIAL (see 01)
Dec 2017	Image Search / YouTube / News / Shopping properties added to Trends	SECONDARY
Oct 2019	Trends FAQ adds "Unlike Google Trends, Autocomplete is subject to Google's removal policies" (Wayback 2019-09-21 absent, 2019-10-15 present)	OFFICIAL
2023-24	NIPRGPT (Air Force), Ask Sage (Army), CamoGPT (Army, no internet)	OFFICIAL/SECONDARY
14 Feb 2024	Fort Huachuca suspends Trusted Traveler	OFFICIAL
16 Oct 2024	NETCOM "Edge" analytics environment (Fort Huachuca) - cyber analytics	OFFICIAL
31 Oct 2024	Grounding with Google Search launches in the Gemini API	OFFICIAL
9 Apr 2025	Web Grounding for Enterprise generally available	OFFICIAL
Aug 2025	GSA OneGov: Gemini for Government	OFFICIAL
Aug 28 / Sep 4 / Sep 8 2025	Her three "meeting" days	-
10 Sep 2025	Charlie Kirk killed	-
9 Oct 2025	Gemini Enterprise (ex-Agentspace) launches	OFFICIAL
9 Dec 2025	GenAI.mil launches (CAC-only, IL5, Gemini for Government)	OFFICIAL
17-24 Dec 2025	ABC NEWS Verify / AAP / Raubenheimer debunk Trends name-spike claims (Bondi; Kirk mentioned)	SECONDARY
Feb 2026	Grounding with Google Image Search (preview, image generation only)	OFFICIAL
Apr-May 2026	Trends FAQ adds the "one-off spikes ... not real search activity" paragraph	OFFICIAL (Wayback)
9 Jul 2026	Her "SAM702" stream: "Brian Harpoon ... Let me try other spellings. Let me try other names."	OURS (transcript)
~15 Jul 2026	Ana on Stew Peters: 5-term Johnnie Moore / Kolvet chart ("I went on stew last night", collab 0:37:29)	INFERENCE (date)
16 Jul 2026	Danks x Ana collab (a1ObeHOaq1k): live re-run of the Stew chart does not match (Part 8)	OURS
5 Sep 2026	Her "Theory of Everything": "before it gets wiped ... I know it's going to disappear"	OURS (transcript)
24 Sep 2026	Gemini for Government deployment guide last updated (Search grounding "unauthorized")	OFFICIAL
3 Oct 2026	Ana's "FORT HUACHUCA: Here's What Happened" stream	-
4 Oct 2026 02:52 / 17:59 PT	Cartoon blips on her days captured, then re-loaded: 7 of 9 gone, 2 moved (Daffy Duck gone)	OURS
4 Oct 2026 17:55 PT	Same 5 Stew-chart terms: third different set of dates	OURS (dates pixel-read)

PART 6 - Still to do

- Capture the remaining screenshots once Google's rate limit clears (shots_roundB2, shots_real), slowly.
- Put the strongest new receipts on screen: the deployment-guide table, the ABC quote, the AAP Charlie Kirk line, Fort Huachuca's own visitor-access pages.
- Rebuild the video in the PLAIN_ENGLISH order with Part 2's "STRONGEST/STRONG" links leading.
- (pass 1) Recapture shots_C (5 Stew-chart terms) with dates parsed from data; pull the collab frame at 1:04:40 (side-by-side) as a receipt; use shots_B + shots_B_repull pairs for the Daffy Duck "gone" demo.
- (pass 1) Danks must own his July words on camera (RED_TEAM #1 and #2) before anyone clips them.

PART 7 - Oct 3 2026 stream (wmLljWb4bcM) audit - added 2026-10-04

- She ADMITS the selection: "out of hundreds, hundreds, and hundreds of individuals searched a list of 43" [29:34]; then "we're not like picking and choosing who to show" [1:38:14].
- She ADMITS the titles came from her own .gov directory lookups [16:41, 1:36:16] - with 2026 titles (Sims, Barnea, Adams) that proves the strings are hers, typed in 2026.
- "why would GenAI's system think Erica Kirk had anything to do with Palantir?" [58:47] - the "ISRTF-PLTR" label is her own typed query; Trends reports only what you type.
- Bailey "private citizen week" theory vs her own Sept 4 date (still sitting MO AG).
- New named accusation: Justin Davis = "the inside man ... drove the SUV" [1:28:26]. John Ragland as Aug 28 "inside man" adjacent [36:19-36:50].
- Small errors: Sierra Vista "no town" (~45k people); AES "18" dead (16); SAM.gov = "new DoD API system" (GSA); "Charlie in Asia end of August" (trip ~Sept 5-7) [CHECK].
- "Isa" = the "Elizabeth Lane" reporter; "run on back to Georgia" [3:05:51], "back to Eastern Europe" [1:34:05].

PART 8 - The July 16 2026 collab replay (a10beHOaq1k) - added pass 1, 2026-10-04

All stamps verified by me in danks_escobar_work\ a10beHOaq1k.txt; speaker checked against the machine speaker split a10beHOaq1k_ANA.txt (lines tagged ANA). Chart dates read from video frames of src_a10beHOaq1k.mp4 (the frame at 1:04:40 shows both charts side by side). Machine transcript: spellings like "Colvett" = Kolvet, "Hansel" = Hansell.

8.1 What happened, in order

Stamp	Who	What	Tag
0:37:29	Ana	"because they have wiped some of them that's the crazy part so i went on stew last night"	OURS
0:39:18-0:39:47	Ana	"If you look up the way that the way that they remove data from Google trends" ... "Well, so the government can."	OURS
0:58:47	Ana	Reads the 0-100 scale as a popularity rank: "trending number one ... serious interest as in possibly even maybe more than one search"	OURS
0:59:39	Ana	"the explorer seems to have a lot more like manipulation that they've done they'll still go in and do wipes and stuff like that ... even on the classic explorer"	OURS
1:00:21	Ana	Asked "would you say that this data has been manipulated or changed and deleted": "we certainly can't [rule that out]"	OURS

Stamp	Who	What	Tag
1:01:17-1:04:43	both	Live re-run of her Stew Peters chart, same 5 terms and settings ("Charlie Kirk", "Johnnie Moore", "Andrew Kolvet ATK", "Andrew T. Kolvet", "Andrew Kolvet Kairos"; Tucson (Sierra Vista) AZ; 5/1/25-9/9/25; Image Search). "where's the search from september 7th and 8th"	OURS
1:05:04	Ana	"well now it's gone ... the searches are gone"	OURS
1:05:39	Danks	"is there something that could be explaining that? I mean, I don't know this stuff well enough to say."	OURS
~1:05:45	Ana	"hypothetically, yes, there are times when the data is captured in a small slice of the pie because it is done through a sampling method. However ... if they're wiping it or if it's more likely that it's just, you know, this randomization has caused this"	OURS
1:33:56-1:35:00	Ana	Larnaca, Cyprus finds "over the summer and the spring", incl. "the address of timpanogos hospital"; 19 dates cross-checked with private-jet locations, "17 of those days" matched	OURS
1:35:56	Ana	"before they go and delete all the data"	OURS
1:37:40	Ana	Blake Neff, Image Search: "June 1st ... Little data point" (frame 1:39:45 shows the spike ~early June)	OURS
1:38:02	Ana	Method: "i start with the year and then i just kind of observe ... and then i go in" (narrows to 5/1); "the way that it samples is if you can imagine if you're sampling out of an entire year of data"	OURS
1:39:25	Ana	"i try all of the i even do common misspellings um i'll do like even bradley i'll check b-r-a-d-l-y"	OURS
1:39:22-1:39:51	live	"Bradley Hansell OUSDI" hits May 25 (her slide: "Brad Hansell OUSDI&S" Sep 8; our Oct 4 load: Aug 17, three times)	OURS
2:01:10	Danks	"these google searches now they're being deleted it looks like possibly um but they have been found and searched and we've got video evidence of that"	OURS - Danks must own this
2:03:00	Ana	"the fact that they now within 24 hours have gone and tried to wipe those searches makes me really worried"	OURS
2:13:05	(read aloud)	Google's AI answer on screen: "Does not delete historical search data from Google Trends based on age, but it does strictly filter out and exclude certain types of search data to preserve privacy and accuracy."	OURS
2:14:05-2:15:43	Ana	Opens Google's Transparency Report (government content-removal requests, "over 7.8 million" items) as proof: "they tell you that it doesn't remove data i'm like wait a second but there yes it does"	OURS

8.2 Same five terms, same settings, three different answers

Load	Johnnie Moore	Kolvet ATK / T. Kolvet	Kolvet Kairos	Source
Her chart on Stew Peters (~Jul 15 2026)	Jul 10 + Jul 15	Aug 15 + Aug 25	~Sep 7-8	Stew narration [02:09:07, 02:10:34]; collab 1:01:17 "september 7th and 8th"; right-hand chart in collab frame 1:04:40
Danks live, Jul 16 2026	~Jul 13 + ~Aug 2	Kolvet ATK ~Jul 6 (T. Kolvet nothing)	nothing	collab frame 1:04:40 (pixel-read, +/-1 day); transcript 1:04:43 "july 6 for andrew ... august 2nd or 3rd"

Load	Johnnie Moore	Kolvet ATK / T. Kolvet	Kolvet Kairos	Source
Our re-run, Oct 4 2026 17:55 PT	~May 31	Kolvet ATK ~Jun 20	nothing	shots_C PNG (pixel-read, +/-1 day; data read bug - recapture)

Plain English: *Same question, same settings, three days, three different answers. If the first answer was a guest list, which one is the real guest list?* [OURS]

- **Can we duplicate her July hits now?** No. Her Stew-chart dates did not come back on July 16 (about one day later) and not on Oct 4. Two of her other names did blip on July 16 - on other days (Neff Jun 1, Hansell May 25). [OURS]
- **Why didn't it duplicate?** Each load is a new random sample, and for rare names that sample is mostly noise (Part 2 rows 1 and 4). Ana said so on the collab: "it is done through a sampling method" (~1:05:45). Then she kept the "wiping" option open, and an hour later said they "tried to wipe those searches" within 24 hours (2:03:00).
- **Were these people actually searched?** Nobody can tell from Trends - not her, not us. Google: one-off spikes "should not be interpreted as real search activity or evidence of individual searches"; for very uncommon terms Trends "may appear to show some search interest even if there were no actual searches." [OFFICIAL]
- **The "government removes Trends data" proof** was Google's content-removal report: requests to take down "blog posts or YouTube videos". It never mentions Trends. Google's Trends FAQ says: "Unlike Google Trends, Autocomplete is subject to Google's removal policies". And the Google AI answer she put on screen said Trends "does not delete historical search data". [OFFICIAL + OURS]
- **The Timpanogos hospital address in Cyprus "over the spring".** Our control phrase "Utah Valley University to Timpanogos hospital" (Georgian, her steps) blipped **May 13 and Jul 30, 2025** in the Tucson (Sierra Vista) market (. . \Ana_escobar_CONTROL_TEST.md, Oct 3 run). By her logic that is foreknowledge in Arizona in May, too. [OURS; caveat: Georgian translation, one pull]
- **What Danks should say:** "In July I put her chart in myself, live. It didn't match. She said maybe it's sampling. I even said on my stream they might be deleting it. I was wrong to say that, and I should have stopped right there. Tonight I ran it again and got a third set of dates."

PART 9 - Her own words on method (the "two options" explainer) - added pass 1

Rule: intent is shown with her words, never asserted. Every line verified in the transcript named.

#	Her words	Where	What it shows
1	"We have identified out of hundreds, hundreds and hundreds of individuals searched, a list of 43 people."	FORT HUACHUCA stream, 3 Oct 2026 (wmLjWb4bcM) 0:29:19	The sieve: hundreds in, 43 kept
2	"38 of those individuals all showed up on the same three days. There's only five outliers." / "So as I narrowed in on these three dates, August 28th, September 4th, and September 8th"	same, 0:29:52	Days picked after looking; misses renamed "outliers"
3	"we're not like picking and choosing who to show because there's so many different people that show up on so many different dates"	same, 1:37:56	Denies selection right after describing it

#	Her words	Where	What it shows
4	"this isn't just random noise. I really do feel comfortable saying that."	same, 1:17:58	She knows "noise" is the question
5	"of course i searched for him in the beginning of course i did of course because i search for everybody" / "I don't see him. I don't see him. ... Why am I not seeing him?"	Theory of Everything, 5 Sep 2026 (AXTzDnziLLk) 2:33:04-2:33:29	Searches everyone; when a name doesn't fit, explains it away
6	"i even do common misspellings um i'll do like even bradley i'll check b-r-a-d-l-y"	collab, 16 Jul 2026 (a1ObeHOaq1k) 1:39:25	More tries per person = more lottery tickets
7	"Brian Harpoon. Maybe that's some children's cartoon ... Let me try other spellings. Let me try other names." / "I checked that, you know, like frequent or common misspellings"	SAM702, 9 Jul 2026 (bmjVylJelZc) 0:00:00 and 1:28:56	Same
8	"i start with the year and then i just kind of observe ... and then i go in" (narrows the window)	collab 1:38:02	A new window = a new draw = another ticket
9	"it is done through a sampling method"	collab ~1:05:45	She knows the data is a sample
10	"we're doing this live because i need you guys to see this before it gets wiped ... i know it's going to disappear"	Theory of Everything, AXTzDnziLLk 2:25:33	She expects blips to vanish - that is what a re-drawn sample does

> Imagine a giant jar of marbles. About one in three has a random date painted on it. Pull out hundreds of marbles. > Keep only the ones painted with your three dates. Throw the rest back and call them "outliers." You will always end > up with a handful that "match." That's not a guest list. That's a sieve. > > She told us herself: "hundreds and hundreds" of names, "common misspellings", "other spellings, other names", a > narrower date window. Every one of those is another marble. > > So there are only two options. **Option one:** she doesn't understand how this tool works. **Option two:** she does > understand it - she said "sampling" herself - and kept what fit. Either way, none of it is evidence, and real > people's names are now attached to a murder because of it.

Honest note: we can't count her marbles (her total tries). Don't put a number on it. Our own numbers are public: 468 cartoon/dead names -> 177 blipped -> 5 exactly on her three days. We show our denominator; she doesn't show hers.

PART 10 - Receipts to read on screen (ranked: how fast a non-technical viewer gets it)

Rank	Receipt	Source / where	Tag
1	Daffy Duck "at Fort Huachuca" on Sept 5 at 2:52 AM - "not enough data" at 5:59 PM the same day. Two screenshots, capture times on screen. "Nobody deleted Daffy Duck."	shots_B/B_ISRTF-PLTR-Daffy-Duck.png (02:52) vs shots_B_repull/B_ISRTF-PLTR-Daffy-Duck@oct04_eve.png (17:59) + .mp4	OURS
2	Her own chart vs Danks typing it in live the next day - same 5 names, same settings, different dates (and a third set Oct 4).	collab frame 1:04:40 (side by side); Part 8.2	OURS

Rank	Receipt	Source / where	Tag
3	Google: "These apparent one-off spikes should not be interpreted as real search activity or evidence of individual searches."	support.google.com/trends/answer/4365533 (live; first in Wayback 2026-05-11)	OFFICIAL
4	Google spokesperson: for very uncommon terms Trends "may appear to show some search interest even if there were no actual searches."	ABC NEWS Verify, 17 Dec 2025	OFFICIAL via SECONDARY
5	Her: "out of hundreds, hundreds and hundreds of individuals searched, a list of 43" + "i even do common misspellings"	wmLjWb4bcM 0:29:19; a1ObeHOaq1k 1:39:25	OURS
6	Researcher: "Some posts mention how the displayed results seem to change from one view to the next. This is, in fact, exactly what to expect with Google Trends data."	Raubenheimer, The Conversation, 17 Dec 2025	SECONDARY
7	"Similar stories did the rounds when US right-wing activist Charlie Kirk was killed in September" (+ AAP: "Similar claims ... after US conservative Charlie Kirk's assassination")	The Conversation 17 Dec 2025; AAP FactCheck 24 Dec 2025	SECONDARY
8	"So if the maximum number of searches was ten, it would show up as 100" next to her "trending number one ... serious interest"	The Conversation; collab 0:58:47	SECONDARY + OURS
9	Google FAQ: "Unlike Google Trends, Autocomplete is subject to Google's removal policies" (on the page since Oct 2019)	support.google.com/trends/answer/4365533; Wayback 20191015212824	OFFICIAL
10	Her "within 24 hours have gone and tried to wipe those searches" next to Google: "data is cached each day ... must wait a day to get a new sample"	collab 2:03:00; Stephens-Davidowitz & Varian (Google) 2015 primer	OURS + OFFICIAL (2015)
11	The removal report she showed covers "blog posts or YouTube videos" - "Trends" isn't on it. Plus the Google AI answer on her screen: Trends "does not delete historical search data"	support.google.com/transparencyreport/answer/7347744; collab 2:13:05	OFFICIAL + OURS
12	Army-documented visitors (Eifler/Hale May 15, Weimer Mar 13, Terrones Mar 6): nothing on their visit days	DVIDS + 04 test 11	OFFICIAL + OURS
13	GNI: "search terms with low volume appear as 0 for a given	GNI lesson; developers.google.com/search/docs/monitor-debug/trends-start	OFFICIAL

Rank	Receipt	Source / where	Tag
	time period" / Search Central: "Google Trends provides a random sample"		
14	Her "I know it's going to disappear" (5 Sep 2026)	AXTzDnziLLk 2:25:33	OURS
15	Trends API "available only to a very limited number of testers" - she uses the public website like everyone else	developers.google.com/search/blog/2025/07/trends-api	OFFICIAL

What is documented (only what we can source): posted 23 Dec 2025 "unsubstantiated", and alleges Harpole's "plane tickets squarely placed him in Texas at the time of the alleged meeting". [SECONDARY - complaint quoted by Eugene Volokh, Reason, 30 Apr 2026, <https://reason.com/volokh/2026/04/30/candace-owens-sued-for-defamation-over-claims-of-conspiracy-to-assassinate-charlie-kirk/> . These are ALLEGATIONS, not court findings. Pull the complaint itself before quoting on screen.] - We found no Army or Fort Huachuca statement confirming who attended any meeting. [searched, none found]

unlikely he knew what was happening. That's a separate video. But here's the point for today: even if every word he said were true, a Google Trends blip can't add one single name to it - because the same blip shows up for Daffy Duck." fact (it is Harpole's allegation).