

Contradictions in her spoken account

Americans for Non-Profit Transparency · Source pack · Contradictions in her spoken account

Source file: CONTRADICTIONS_SPOKEN.md, as it stood on 7 October 2026. Published for checking. Internal cross-references to other files were left as written; private names, non-Latin search strings and local file paths were removed. Google Trends dates in this file are what Google returned at the time stated; Trends redraws its sample.

Ana Escobar - spoken-word contradictions (categories d, e, f, g, h + honest gaps)

Built 2026-10-06 for the follow-up video + on-air prep. Frame/screenshot categories (a, b, c) live in the frame file. Every quote below was read in the transcript at the timestamp given, with ~30-60 s of context. Source tags: **[W]** our Whisper large-v3 transcript (danks_escobar_work\<id>.json), **[C]** YouTube captions (ana_scan\captions_all.json), **[W+C]** checked in both, **[STEW-W]** Whisper of the Stew Peters show (Video Editor\transcript\stew_peters_ana_escobar_transcript_full.txt, Rumble v7cowxi, Jul 13 2026; Whisper does not split speakers - speaker named by context, confirm by ear before airing). **[FRAME]** = verified by eye on the 1080p frame in ana_scan\out\<id>. Dates in brackets after the id = her upload date.

Rules applied: "searched from", never "on base"/"was there" (except her own words in quotes); any "not random" quote is labelled HER claim; no "fraud" as fact; Stew's words are never put in her mouth.

Strength key: **STRONG** = her own words/slides contradict each other, no outside fact needed. **MEDIUM** = needs one outside fact or a reasonable reading. **WEAK** = context-dependent; keep off screen unless asked.

D. Her story changing over time

D1. Who did the searching: a young escort -> a hotel/guest list -> an automated AI. **STRONG**

- Jul 9 (bmjVylJelZc) <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=2065> [W]: "I might say it because I'm literally driving to pick this person up at the airport and I just need to check really quick what they look like ... For James Adams, they're looking September 4th through September 6th."
- Jul 13, Stew show, <https://rumble.com/v7cowxi> 1:56:31 [STEW-W, Ana answering Stew]: "It's much more likely that a military person, most likely an escort, was conducting that search so that they'd be able to visually identify the person that they were charged with going to pick up." Same show 1:02:14: "they grab their phone and usually on the way to the airport, they are Googling that person".
- Sep 19 (-rla6IJW5fw) <https://youtu.be/-rla6IJW5fw?t=5954> [W]: "this list of individuals who would appear to have potentially been at the Candlewood Inn and Suites on Fort Huachuca".
- Oct 3 (wmLljWb4bcM) <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=743> [W]: "If they didn't know where the Google trend searches were coming from, how could it be coming from a person?" and <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=1589> [W]: "what I realized is it's an automated system ... the new API leaves the record on Google."
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=5285> [W]: "This isn't some data scraper ... This isn't some super curious gate guard. This isn't even, I believe, an escort ... for the longest time, my best guess has been there's such a super anal secretary who's like,"

- Why: same blips, three different explanations (escort on a phone, hotel list, AI on a schedule) and now a fourth ("secretary") in the same stream that announces the AI. The data never changed; only the story did.
- Verified: transcripts read at bmjVy 34:25, STEW 1:02:14 + 1:55:01-1:57:00, -rla6 1:39:14, wmLI 12:23, 26:29, 1:28:05.

D2. Same list: "searches" on Sep 26 -> "these are not searches" / "API digital visitor log" on Oct 3. STRONG

- Sep 26 (nxbuNswDPpA) <https://youtu.be/nxbuNswDPpA?t=2640> [W]: "these dates are not random. These searches are not random." (HER claim)
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLjWb4bcM?t=2275> [W+C]: "These are not searches, you know, no one, it's not like one person is here on, you know, July 2nd and then the other is here. They are three specific dates."
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLjWb4bcM?t=4145> [W]: "all showing up on the same day through the API digital visitor log". "API" appears in ONE of her uploads (Oct 3, 21x); "GenAI/Gemini/grounding" about this topic only Oct 3 (our count across 10 Whisper transcripts + 69 caption tracks, 2026-10-06).
- Why: the rows are Google Trends searches she ran; a week later the same rows are "not searches" but an API log.
- Verified: nxbu 43:51-44:30, wmLI 37:55 (Whisper AND captions agree), 1:09:05.

D3. "They wiped it" vs "it's a sampling method" - one day apart, then back again. STRONG

- Jul 16 collab (a1ObeHOaq1k) <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=2245> [W]: "let's do it because they have wiped some of them".
- Same stream, after the live re-run failed, <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=3939> [W]: "hypothetically, yes, there are times when the data is captured in a small slice of the pie because it is done through a sampling method ... or if it's more likely that it's just, you know, this randomization has caused this."
- Next day, Jul 17 (OEsx7hplFic) <https://youtu.be/OEsx7hplFic?t=2642> [C]: "they started deleting the results like within 24 hours of me going on um on Stew ... they wiped so many results that they actually they messed up".
- Aug 20 (8Obrnbu-Eo0) <https://youtu.be/8Obrnbu-Eo0?t=1326> [C]: "as soon as I went on st[ew] within 24 hours they wiped those searches ... you don't rush to cover something up unless you're kind of on to something."
- Sep 14 (an6dnXjnYwg) <https://youtu.be/an6dnXjnYwg?t=4442> [W]: "as we know, they love to go in and manipulate the data on the backend."
- Counter-receipts on her own screen: collab <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=7985> [W] she reads Google's AI answer: "Does not delete historical search data from Google Trends based on age, but it does strictly filter out ..." And Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLjWb4bcM?t=1589>: "the new API leaves the record on Google" - if they wipe within 24 h, how is a Sept 2025 "record" still there in Oct 2026?
- Why: she named the real cause (sampling) on air, then went back to "deleted by the government" the next day and kept it.
- Verified: a1Obe 0:37:08, 1:03:41-1:06:00, 2:12:32-2:13:30; OEsx 0:43:41-0:45:38; 8Obr 0:21:43-0:22:37; an6d 1:14:02; wmLI 26:29.
- Jul 17 <https://youtu.be/OEsx7hplFic?t=2670> [C]: "they had deleted so much that they got rid of any searches for Erica Kirk from Arizona ... I think that they had wiped every single search result for Erica through September 13th."

- Sep 19 <https://youtu.be/-rla6IJW5fw?t=429> [W]: "I have been trying to find this woman ... Is she ever going to appear? Could Mitch have just misremembered?" ; <https://youtu.be/-rla6IJW5fw?t=7168> [W]: "oh my gosh, I finally found her ... the final last piece of puzzle, which is what I found last night ... it's Erica with a C".
- Sep 26 <https://youtu.be/nxbuNswDPpA?t=1637> [W]: "JDA Erica Fransve appears on that list ... Same day, that is the last day that Charlie is speaking at SAS" (Student Action Summit, Tampa, July 2025). Her own sheet row: "EVENT: JDA ERIKA F ON FORT H LOG" between the Tampa SAS rows [FRAME [nxbuNswDPpA/001660.jpg](https://youtu.be/nxbuNswDPpA/001660.jpg)].
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=3497> [W+C]: "she showed up on the Saturday list as part of our weekenders" including Erica, on September the 8th ... although the meeting took place on the 9th, the expected digital log would appear on the 8th."
- Why: by her own rule the "log" should show Erika on the 8th; it shows the 6th (and, on Sep 26, July). Two months earlier she said every Arizona search for Erika had been wiped through Sept 13.
- Verified: OEsx 0:44:00-0:45:18; -rla6 0:07:09, 1:59:28; nxbu 0:25:46-0:27:40 + frame; wmLI 58:17, 1:01:56.

D5. DIA director Adams: "star of the show" -> "outgoing ... normal official business". STRONG

- Jul 13 Stew show <https://rumble.com/v7cowxi> 1:53:51 [STEW-W, Ana]: "all of those individuals, including the star of the show, who would be James Adams, was being Google searched by his image in the immediate days prior, right up until, I believe, the day before the meeting."
- Jul 17 <https://youtu.be/OEsx7hplFic?t=1711> [C]: "Lieutenant General Adams, that's another person who is identified in the Google Trends as having been there. He's brand new to his role."
- Sep 26 sheet [FRAME [nxbuNswDPpA/001091.jpg](https://youtu.be/nxbuNswDPpA/001091.jpg), t=1092]: "DOD DIA DIR (OUTGOING) | ADAMS, LT GEN JAMES H | FH | Aug 10, 2025" and again "Sep 5, 2025". Spoken <https://youtu.be/nxbuNswDPpA?t=1117> [W]: "the date is going to be August 10th. It's the positive search result."
- Oct 3 slide "SEPTEMBER 5, 2025 James H Adams DIA OUTGOING DIRECTOR" [FRAME [wmLljWb4bcM/003379.jpg](https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM/003379.jpg)] and <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=3374> [W]: "the DIA's outgoing director ... It is, in fairness, very possible that ... Those two guys were just there for normal official business ... that doesn't qualify for like a big, big deal to me."
- Why: "brand new" in July, "outgoing" in September; the headliner of the July meeting theory is "normal business" in October.
- Verified: STEW 1:53:23-1:54:32; OEsx 0:28:05-0:28:49; nxbu 0:18:09 + frame; wmLI 56:14 + frame.

D6. Justin Davis ("the inside man"): Sept 7 -> Sept 6 -> Sept 8, on a common plain name. STRONG

- Jul 9 chart [FRAME [bmjVylJelZc/004837.jpg](https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc/004837.jpg)]: plain term "Justin Davis", Tucson (Sierra Vista), 8/1/25-9/10/25, Image Search - spike measured at **Sept 7** (axis ruler 37 px/day from Aug 16 / Aug 31 labels). Spoken <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=4849> [W]: "in the days immediately prior ... Maybe. Allegedly ... All the way up to September 7th."
- Jul 13 Stew show <https://rumble.com/v7cowxi> 1:39:11 [STEW-W, Ana]: "I was surprised to see that on, let's see here, September 6th, his image was being specifically searched".
- Oct 3 slide "SEPTEMBER 8, 2025 Justin Davis INTEGRITY SOLUTIONS (THE SECURITY GUY) AND THE DRIVER OF THE SUV" [FRAME [wmLljWb4bcM/005356.jpg](https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM/005356.jpg)] + <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=5285> [W]: "The inside man. That's Justin Davis ... on that same log and on that same day, there is an inside man."
- Her own rule, Jul 9 <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=1593> [W] (on "Bradley Hansel"): "It's a relatively common name, and so I can't really make any inference off of the fact that someone ... in Sierra Vista ... they were looking up Bradley Hansel."

- Why: three different days for the most serious accusation she makes, built on a plain common name - the exact kind of hit she said can't be used. (Stew separately says "September 8th and 9th", rumble 2:10:56 - Stew's words.)
- Verified: frame measured; bmjVy 1:20:49, 0:26:03-0:27:48; STEW 1:39:11; wmLI 1:28:05 + 4 frames.

D7. Hansell "control": July + Aug 24 -> May 25 live -> "Sept 8, there he was". MEDIUM-STRONG

- Jul 9 <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=1900> [W]: "It looks like Bradley Hansel was being searched in his capacity as OUSDI during July. But I see this later search, August 24th ... That's a lot later than all the other searches."
- Jul 16 live <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=5935> [W]: "Brad Hansel, O-U-S-D-I. Perfect. Okay, so that didn't show ... oh we hit on bradley just bradley full regular uh oh usdi ... image search and that was may 25th".
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=3837> [W]: "So this was my starting place for developing the entire meeting list. I knew that Brad had been there. He confirmed it himself. I also knew that if this method ... were accurate, then what I would expect to see is Brad Hansel there on September 8th. And there he was."
- Jul 16 on whether he was there <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=1798> [W]: "he was at that meeting. Well, maybe allegedly. allegedly." -> Oct 3 "He confirmed it himself" (no source shown).
- Why: in July her latest Hansell hit was Aug 24 and the live run gave May 25; the Sept 8 "control" she calls her starting point is not in any July material. Overlaps frame category (a) - pair with the frame file's Hansell entry.
- Verified: bmjVy 0:31:09-0:31:40; a1Obe 0:29:58, 1:38:55-1:39:30; wmLI 1:03:57.

D8. Brian Harpole: "searched in the days prior" -> "could also mean that he wasn't there". MEDIUM

- Jul 9 <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=4586> [W]: "I tried Brian Harpole Integrity. No. Brian Harpole Integrity Security Solutions. No. Brian Harpole Security. Yeah ... on August 26th, Starting August 19th" ; <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=5336> [W]: "Brian Harpo's security was being searched in the days prior. John Ragland, Brian Harpoon. I think someone just misspelled it. I think it was an autocorrect."
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=8033> [W]: "Brian Harpo's name did come across, but not on that same day as the other individuals ... Perhaps he only required a brief and then stayed on post ... And it could also mean that he wasn't there."
- Why: a July "hit" (including "Brian Harpoon" counted as him) quietly becomes a non-hit when it doesn't fit the October three days. Note: Harpole is a plaintiff in Harpole v. Owens - handle with care on air.
- Verified: bmjVy 1:16:26-1:17:40, 1:28:56; wmLI 2:13:15-2:15:10.

D9. "Hard data" / "not proof" / "who's to say" / "high degree of certainty". MEDIUM (pattern, not one line)

- Jul 9 <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=752> [W]: "I am a very, very big fan of hard data because again, it changes the onus from being on the investigator to being on the individual ... that's up for them to tell us because now we already know."
- Jul 13 (kweYmsUrEUM) <https://youtu.be/kweYmsUrEUM?t=1135> [W]: "the hard data that we are able to generate based off of our trends, searches, specifically the image searches, is extremely edifying".
- Jul 9 <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=5336> [W]: "It's not proof, but it's certainly, I do think it buoys the theory."

- Sep 19 <https://youtu.be/-rla6lJW5fw?t=7865> [W]: "So I feel none of it is proof of anything, but it's suspicious."
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=1464> [W]: "does that mean that every single person actually showed up? ... Who's to say?"
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=8956> [W]: "now that I would say that I have a very high degree of certainty that we know who was there". Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=2090> [W]: "And they have admitted to it themselves."
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=4799> [W]: "we're not accusing anyone of anything" vs <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=8820> [W]: "do I think that I want all of those people in prison? Yes, I would. I would like them indicted."
- Why: "not proof" when challenged, "hard data / we already know / indicted" when presenting. Same data throughout.
- Verified at each timestamp above.

D10. Who wrote "ISRTF-PLTR": "that is how I determined it" -> "why would the AI think...?" STRONG

- Sep 14 <https://youtu.be/an6dnXjnYwg?t=4508> [W]: "are we going to call him the, ISRTF-PLTR Alexander C. Karp, CEO. No, that's what a badge would read. I mean, that is how I determined what it was going to be that he would be being looked up as. And trust me, we put in every variation that we could come up with."
 - Sep 26 <https://youtu.be/nxbuNswDPpA?t=1637> [W]: "I want you to also note the ways that I'm listing folks ... no one should think that Johnny Moore is a part of a Palantir recon task force. And yet the way that he appears, somebody thinks that he's related to them."
 - Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=1496> [W]: "why is it that they're using like this exact phrase? Why is it that they're using this exact terminology?" ; <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=3497> [W+C]: "why would Gen AI's system think that Erica Kirk" ; <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=3611> [W]: "why would this API log think that Andrew Colfatti had anything to do with Palantir?"
 - Why: on Sep 14 she says she built the label herself and tried every variation; on Oct 3 she presents the same label as something a government system chose.
 - Verified: an6d 1:14:02-1:15:30; nxbu 0:27:17; wmLl 24:56, 58:17, 1:00:11.
-

E. Searches that failed (live or admitted)

E1. Jul 16 live re-run of her Stew chart - "the searches are gone". STRONG

- <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=3821> [W]: (Danks) "this is our search here and you can see a very big difference ... we only have two ... Andrew ... July 6 ... Johnny ... August 2nd or 3rd" ; (Ana) "now it's gone and now that exact time frame when they would or would not have been possibly there ... they're gone I mean the searches are gone".
- Then <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=3939> "it is done through a sampling method" (D3). Receipt: receipts\RECEIPT_stew_chart_vs_live_rerun.jpg.
- Why: the one documented cross-day re-run with the same settings did not reproduce. Note Danks ran it - own it on air.
- Verified: a1Obe 1:00:50-1:06:00.

E2. Jul 16 live: "Brad Hansel O-U-S-D-I ... that didn't show" -> different spelling -> May 25. MEDIUM

- <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=5935> [W] (see D7). Also earlier in the same stream <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=3650> [W]: "i added johnny moore okay so no data".
- Verified: a1Obe 1:00:50, 1:38:55.

E3. Jul 20 live on her own stream: the exact address misses; an unwanted hit "doesn't mean anything". MEDIUM

- (i9oCB_WLfNE) https://youtu.be/i9oCB_WLfNE?t=6125 [C]: "see how there's not a positive result for this. The exact address [address removed]".
- https://youtu.be/i9oCB_WLfNE?t=7026 [C]: "I was not expecting to see a positive result right there. So, I'm a little bit not happy ... we have a March 2nd, a February 13th, and we have a April 24th. Now, it doesn't mean anything."
- Why: when the method lands on someone she doesn't suspect, it "doesn't mean anything"; when it lands on a suspect, it's a visit. Same tool.
- Verified: i9oCB 1:42:05, 1:56:46-1:58:09.

E4. Oct 3: Cabot Phillips and Harpole not found -> "dead ringer" + "absence of evidence". MEDIUM

- <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=7995> [W]: "We did not see Cabot Phillips ... the person that we did get is honestly a dead ringer ... Martin Kindle ... absence of evidence is not evidence of absence".
 - Verified: wmLI 2:13:15-2:14:00.
 - AXTzDnziLLk <https://youtu.be/AXTzDnziLLk?t=9184> [W] (repeated in QKtLFt5c1Rw <https://youtu.be/QKtLFt5c1Rw?t=1185> [C]) is her telling the story of looking for David Barnea: "of course I searched for him in the beginning ... I search for everybody ... I was like, I don't see him. I don't see him ... Well, I didn't realize he would be there as a guest of our DIA or DGI". It belongs in G (re-labelled until it hit), see G6. Don't call it a failed live search on air.
 - Verified: AXTz 2:31:23-2:34:31; QKt 0:19:30-0:19:58.
-

F. 2026 titles on 2025 "visits"

F1. Her own words: the titles and jobs come from her lookups "now". STRONG

- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=994> [W]: "This new computer system is forced to perform a live Google image search by title from the .gov directory. The same .gov directory where I was getting everybody's titles".
- Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=5758> [W]: "All I had to do was just grab the individual's names out of the DOD directories ... the individual, how they were Googled, what their role is, what their title is, where they're working now ... and, of course, the most important and fun part, the search."
- Why: "where they're working now" = 2026. Any 2026-only title or employer on a 2025 row came from her, not a 2025 system.
- Verified: wmLI 16:34, 1:35:58.

F2. Ondas: her own slide says nobody worked there yet; her sheet and search term say ONDAS. STRONG

- Sep 14 slide [FRAME an6dnXjnYwg/002549.jpg, <https://youtu.be/an6dnXjnYwg?t=2549>]: "NO ONE ON THIS LIST WAS EMPLOYED AT ONDAS DURING THIS TIMEFRAME. ONDAS WAS NOT YET PARTNERED WITH PALANTIR." Same chart, Trends term typed: **"Yoav Har Even ONDAS"** (window 1/1/25-9/9/25) and **"D-DIA David Barnea"**.
- Sep 14 slide [FRAME an6dnXjnYwg/002419.jpg]: "DAVID BARNEA Fmr. Director of Mossad 2021 - June 2026: Director of Mossad".
- Oct 3 sheet [FRAME wmLljWb4bcM/005795.jpg, <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=5795>]: "ONDAS/MOSSAD - FMR DIR | BARNEA, DIRECTOR DAVID | FH | Aug 5, 2025"; "ONDAS/MOSSAD - FMR DIR / ISRTF | BARNEA | Sep 8, 2025"; "ONDAS/PLTR - FMR CO-CEO ... LUGASSY, OSHRI | Sep 4, 2025"; "ONDAS/PLTR - FOUNDER / ISRTF-PLTR | KARP | Sep 8, 2025"; "ONDAS/PLTR* / 8VC FOUNDER | LONSDALE, JOE | Sep 4, 2025".
- Why: by her own slide, "ONDAS" and "former Mossad director" are 2026 facts. They're typed into a 2025 search term and printed on 2025 "log" rows.
- Verified: 3 frames viewed by eye.

F3. Cliff Sims as "JD Vance's National Security Advisor" on Sept 8, 2025. STRONG (with gap)

- Sep 5 <https://youtu.be/AXTzDnziLLk?t=6701> [W]: "Mr. Cliff Sims. That is the new National Security Advisor to J.D. Vance. Cliff Sims, he is another person who is on our Fort Banana list." Slide text: "CLIFF D. SIMS * Nat Sec Advisor ... Newly named" [FRAME AXTzDnziLLk/006710.jpg, OCR].
- Oct 3 slide "SEPTEMBER 8, 2025 Clifford Sims JD VANCE'S NATIONAL SECURITY ADVISOR (THE NATSEC BOSS)" [FRAME wmLljWb4bcM/004368.jpg, OCR] + <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=4333> [W]: "Clifford D. Sims, as in J.D. Vance's national security advisor".
- GAP: we have her slide label, not the Trends search string she typed for Sims. Pull that frame before saying "she searched his 2026 title"; until then say "she labels a Sept 2025 visit with his 2026 job".

F4. Adams "DIA director (outgoing)" in Aug/Sep 2025. MEDIUM - re-verify

- Sep 26 sheet + Oct 3 slide (D5). July: "director of the Defense Intelligence Agency" (bmjVy 0:33:53) and "brand new to his role".
- Record per BIBLE row 11: Adams nominated DIA director Jan 2026. Re-check the DIA roster (Kruse removed Aug 2025; who led DIA Sep 2025) against an official source before this goes on screen.

F5. "D-DIA David Barnea" - a U.S. DIA prefix on Israel's Mossad director. MEDIUM

- Sep 14 Trends term [FRAME an6dnXjnYwg/002549.jpg]; Sep 6/7 terms "David Barnea DGI", "D-DIA David Bar..." (trends_frames.json: p-zztTg-kWE 274, QKtLFt5c1Rw 786). No year in which that was his title. Ties to E5/G6: she added it after "I don't see him".

G. How the 43 and the three dates were picked (her own words)

- **G1. Hundreds searched, 43 kept, three dates picked after looking. STRONG.** Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=1759> [W]: "out of hundreds, hundreds and hundreds of individuals searched, a list of 43 people ... 38 of those individuals all showed up on the same three days. There's only five outliers ... So as I narrowed in on these three dates, August 28th, September 4th, and

September 8th". Also <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=3894> [W]: "every single individual, along with tons, tons, tons more people in the .gov directory, the only ones that show up on September the 8th are the bosses."

- **G2. "Not picking and choosing ... because so many people show up on so many different dates." STRONG (self-undermining).** Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=5876> [W+C]: "these dates are not random [HER claim]. They're not like all over the place, right? Like we're not like picking and choosing who to show because there's so many different people that show up on so many different dates now."
- **G3. "I always include" positives. STRONG.** Stew <https://rumble.com/v7cowxi> 1:10:42 [STEW-W, Ana]: "I started out all the way back as far as January. And then I narrowed the search from there. Anytime that there is a positive result, then I always include it." (Misses aren't counted.)
- **G4. Narrow the window until it "generates" a hit. STRONG.** Jul 20 https://youtu.be/i9oCB_WLfNE?t=4992 [C]: "there was not enough interest overall for the past 2 years for it to actually generate a positive result. It is only once we start to narrow down." Collab <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=5884> [W]: "i start with the year and then i just kind of observe ... and then i go in".
- **G5. Every variation, every misspelling, every person. STRONG.** Sep 14 <https://youtu.be/an6dnXjnYwg?t=4508>: "we put in every variation that we could come up with". Sep 19 <https://youtu.be/-rla6IJW5fw?t=7168> [W]: "I sat down and went through every single DOD official, every single, every single person that I could humanly come up with". Collab <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=5962> [W]: "i try all of the i even do common misspellings". Jul 20 https://youtu.be/i9oCB_WLfNE?t=5410 [C]: "once you start getting like pretty positive results, you have to check common misspellings". Aug 3 (C6vtbBZjNmg) <https://youtu.be/C6vtbBZjNmg?t=2469> [C]: "even though they've managed to go back and wipe a bunch of it, I can find it under the way that the person misspelled it ... They're forgetting to wipe them."
- **G6. Re-label until he appears (Barnea). STRONG.** Sep 5 <https://youtu.be/AXTzDnziLLk?t=9184> [W]: "I searched for him in the beginning ... I don't see him ... Why am I not seeing him? Well, I didn't realize he would be there as a guest of our DIA or DGI" -> term "D-DIA David Barnea" (F5).
- **G7. Erika found by stepping through spellings. MEDIUM.** Sep 19 <https://youtu.be/-rla6IJW5fw?t=7168> [W]: "first I had to realize ... maybe Erica was there. Then I had to realize Erica maybe was there as a guest to Palantir. Then ... the final last piece of puzzle, which is what I found last night ... it's Erica with a C, but it's still Erica Leigh and Frantz Faye".
- **G8. Harpoon counted as Harpole. MEDIUM.** Jul 9 <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=5336> (D8).
- **G9. Total tries: never stated.** No transcript gives a count of searches/terms/variants. Our searches for "how many searches", "thousands of names", etc. found nothing. Ask her on air (denominator).
- Verified at each timestamp above.

H. Other spoken contradictions

- **H1. "It's like sampling ... if it's on there, the search happened." STRONG.** Aug 4, live with Danks (1ur1d-1B7cA) <https://youtu.be/1ur1d-1B7cA?t=8603> [C]: (Danks supplies the word "sampling") Ana: "it's like sampling ... But long story short, if it's on there, the search happened and that's like, you know, to me the most important indicator." vs Google Trends Help (noise; "one-off spikes should not be interpreted as real search activity") and Google to ABC Dec 2025 (BIBLE). Note Danks is on this clip.
- **H2. "This isn't just random noise." STRONG** (vs Google). Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=4678> [W]: "as you guys can see,
- **H3. Common-name caution applied to Hansell, not to "Justin Davis".** See D6. STRONG.

- **H4. The "new explorer is manipulated ... they still do wipes on the classic" - unfalsifiable. WEAK.** Collab <https://youtu.be/a1ObeHOaq1k?t=3591> [W]: "the explorer seems to have a lot more like manipulation ... they'll still go in and do wipes and stuff like that on this um even on the classic explorer".
- **H5. Same move on flight data. WEAK, keep off screen.** Sep 8 (iuLI4qaMr_w) https://youtu.be/iuLI4qaMr_w?t=14949 [C], on a viewer finding no flights: "that website in particular, they do allow for data manipulation because ultimately they're owned by the usual suspects". Pattern only; the "usual suspects" wording is coded - do not air.
- **H6. Oct 3 "a heck of a control" vs Jul 9 "most likely".** Jul 9 <https://youtu.be/bmjVylJelZc?t=690> [W]: "We already have established that Brad Hansel is most likely someone who went to Fort Banana." Oct 3 <https://youtu.be/wmLljWb4bcM?t=1527> [W]: "It's a heck of a control to know someone was going to be there." MEDIUM (fold into D7).
- Not counted here (they're contradicted by our tests, not by her): "only the bosses show up on Sept 8", Hansell re-pulls,

Honest gaps (where she has a fair point - know these before she raises them)

1. **She hedges, a lot, on tape.** "allegedly", "in my opinion", "not proof", "not accusing anyone", "I'm not saying he was". She will play those. Answer: quote the hedge AND the claim together (D9) - the hedge doesn't change the slide that says "SEPTEMBER 8, 2025 ... THE DRIVER OF THE SUV".
2. **She said "sampling" herself (collab 1:05:39; Aug 4).** She'll say "I told you it was a sample." Answer: then a blip is a sample wobble, not a visit - and why "they wiped it" the next day?
3. **She disclosed the Ondas timing on her own slide (F2).** She'll say she was transparent. Answer: then why is "ONDAS" in the search term and on the 2025 rows?
4. **Sheet TITLE column is not the same as the typed search string.** The 2026-title point is airtight only where the 2026 word is IN the search term ("Yoav Har Even ONDAS", "FMR DIR" rows). For Sims and Adams we have her slide/sheet labels; get the Trends-term frames before saying "she searched his 2026 title" (F3/F4).
5. **Multiple dates for one person aren't automatically a contradiction.** Adams has two rows (Aug 10 + Sep 5); Davis's Sep 6/7/8 could be different strings or loads. The point is that each one was presented as exact and meaningful.
6. **Same-day matches are expected.** Same request, same day = same sample (Google 2015). Her Hansell Jul 9 vs Stew chart match (frame file) may be this. Ask for capture dates.
7. **She does name outliers and "normal official business."** 5 outliers out of 43; Friday names maybe official. Shows some filtering - but the filter only ever removes people, never the method.
8. **She warned about common names (Jul 9 26:33).** She'll cite her caution. Counter: "Justin Davis" plain (D6).
9. **"Who's to say" anyone showed up (Oct 3 24:24).** She'll say she never claimed attendance. Counter: same stream 2:29:16 "very high degree of certainty that we know who was there"; Davis slide.
10. **Danks is on several of these clips.** Jul 16 collab (live re-run, "they have wiped some"), Jul 17 "Denx and I tried to look it up yesterday. Totally gone" (OEsx 0:44:21), Aug 4 (Danks supplies "sampling"). Own it first, every time.
11. **Stew's words are not hers.** "hard data that has been cross-checked" (STEW 0:49:48), "multiple massive spikes September 6th through the 9th" (STEW 2:12:19), "searched on September 8th and 9th" (Davis, STEW 2:10:56) - Stew's monologue. Label as Stew.
12. **Whisper vs captions disagree in places** (e.g. Oct 3 37:55 "would" vs "wouldn't be expected"). Quote only spans that match in both, or re-listen.

Counts

D 10 (7 strong) | E 4 + 1 correction (1 strong) | F 5 (3 strong) | G 9 (6 strong) | H 6 (3 strong) | honest gaps 12.